UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,175	02/22/2006	Johann Thomas Rogatschnig	AT030047	2012
24737 7590 03/28/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIA POLITICAL MANOR NIV 10510			EXAMINER	
			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
BKIAKCLIFF I	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/569,175	ROGATSCHNIG, JOHANN THOMAS				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maurina Rachuba	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ja</u>	nuary 2008.					
2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 February 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •				

Application/Control Number: 10/569,175 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The objection to the declaration is withdrawn, please refer to 1327 OG 112, 12 February 2008 for further guidance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taniguchi et al, 6,568,083, as set forth in the previous Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taniguchi et al, 6,568,083 in view of Meyer et al, 3,855,697, as set forth in the previous Office action.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 07 January 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that '083 does not disclose the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,175

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Applicant specifically argues that '083 does not that both the short hair cutting device and the long hair cutting device, with the carrying device located in its second operating position, project farther out of the housing than when the carrying device is located in its first operating position. The examiner disagrees. For example, the first embodiment, disclosed in column 6, lines 21 through column 10, lines 55, discloses a shaver having a housing, see for example figure 4B, the housing containing the motor and drive means, (the same structure broadly disclosed by applicant, see '083, column 9, lines 34 through column 10, lines 22), the short hair cutter and long hair cutter positioned on a carrier, which has several positions, the short and long hair cutters project further outside the housing when the carrying device is located in a second position than when it is in a first position, see for example column 7, lines 5-24, "The head frame 70 thus supporting the outer cutters 62 is mounted on tip of the housing and is connected to a height adjust mechanism so as to be vertically moveable relative to the housing between a high position of FIG. 1 and a low position." Further column 7, lines 13-19, "The cutter holders 63 each carrying the outer cutter 62 are provided for short hair shaving, while a long hair shaving cutter unit 66 is also supported to the head frame 70...". This clearly anticipates applicant's invention as set forth in claims 1 and 3. If applicant has other structure than that claimed that would overcome the rejection, it should be claimed. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., any attachment structure between the carrier and the cutting devices) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification,

Art Unit: 3723

limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

7. Applicant has further complained that the examiner did not fully articulate the basis of the rejection. The examiner strongly disagrees. The examiner clearly pointed out what structure corresponded to each of the claimed limitations by figure reference number, as well as pointing out where in the disclosure the descriptions of the referenced structure could be found. That applicant had to read several columns of the specification is moot, as applicant would have had to have read these sections in order to understand not just the rejection, but the invention of '083.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,175 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurina Rachuba whose telephone number is 571 272
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723